THE MULTI-STORY

RESIDENTIAL PROTOTYPE I
What's this?
This package contains a fictitious project with an imaginary client. It illustrates the type of information that the AISC Steel Solutions Center (SSC) typically receives and the Conceptual Solution that might be prepared in reply. It is important to note that the information comes with the continued involvement of the Steel Solutions Center and more directly AISC’s Regional Engineers. Together, we are committed to developing efficient, economical solutions in steel and providing continuous support for the life of the project.

Incoming:
A project can find its way to the Steel Solutions Center a variety of ways. Common scenarios include:
1. A project is over-budget in concrete, and a steel alternate is suggested, in which an engineer, general contractor, or developer could contact their favorite fabricator for assistance.
2. A fabricator hears of a lead and contacts their AISC Regional Engineer to present to the general contractor or developer the advantages that a steel frame could provide to their project.

The Solution:
The AISC team and the client work together—often via conference call—to determine what can be done to move the project forward in steel. The Steel Solutions Center can provide a wide range of solutions from a simple bay study to a lateral system analysis, foundation comparison and conceptual estimate. A unique Conceptual Solution matching the detail of this prototype would represent the SSC’s highest level of response.

What now?
This Prototype is one example of the Conceptual Solutions the SSC can provide. More importantly, because it is representative of many real steel multi-story residential (MSR) structures, many SSC clients have found this Prototype alone can capture the developer’s attention.
The Steel Solutions Center has been involved in a broad range of projects since our inception in 2001. In addition to MSR structures, we can help you find innovative solutions for high-rise offices, parking structures and more.
Meeting the expectations of the people we work with is important to us. The last two pages communicate what our clients can expect from the Steel Solutions Center and what the SSC expects from our clients. Remember the Conceptual Solution is only the beginning. The AISC team will continue to provide assistance for the life of your project.
Incoming:

John Philips, who is a developer outside of Milwaukee, learned of the Steel Solutions Center (SSC) while a fabricator was making their routine sales call to his office and suggested that John contact the SSC for assistance on his upcoming project. John faxed the below information to the SSC and was then contacted later that day by a Regional Engineer and a SSC Advisor to discuss how the SSC could be of service.

AAARF DEVELOPMENT
1342 Hinkle Road
Butler, Wisconsin 43210
412-555-1234

December 1, 2003
To: AISC Steel Solutions Center VIA FAX
From: John Philips
Re: Potential Condominium Project

I am considering the construction of a high rise condominium project in the Milwaukee area. The property is situated near Lake Michigan with 300 feet of lake view frontage and a property depth of 160 feet. Property and preliminary sketches are attached. Area height restrictions do not allow a building to exceed a height of 85 feet. Parking must be provided under the occupied floors. The following units are anticipated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SQ Feet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1500 +/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1200 +/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1000 +/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We anticipate 7 floors of residences over two levels of parking. Two parking spaces must be provided for each unit. Can you provide a layout utilizing a steel framing system along with it’s associated cost and schedule?

Thank you.
The Solution:

Following the arrival of John’s fax, the Regional Engineer, a SSC Advisor, and John participated in a conference call to qualify and discuss the project in greater detail. The project is located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and therefore Michael Gustafson, the Regional Engineer of the Great Plains Region, is responsible for the project. The following is what the AISC team learned from this continued discussion:

- John had mainly constructed buildings out of concrete, so he was out of his comfort zone in regards to steel.
- John had a tight schedule due to several other condo projects on the horizon in this particular area.
- John said foundations were to be an issue due to poor soil conditions and close proximity to the lake front.
- He had a working relationship with a fabricator in his local area.

After reviewing all the information at hand, the AISC team agreed a steel option would meet the owner’s needs and provide cost & schedule savings for this project. They also agreed that influencing the owner would require the SSC’s highest level of response, including a preliminary structural design performed specifically for this project; teaming up with John’s fabricator to help confirm cost and schedule; and the SSC’s continued support to ease John’s reservations of dealing with a steel structure.

The Outcome:

The AISC team decided on the Staggered Truss Framing System, which would offer John the same low floor-to-floor heights he used with flat-plate construction. In addition, the system would
- More flexibility in unit layout
- 50% fewer columns, which would reduce the foundation costs
- A column-free level above the parking area

The fabricator used the Conceptual Solution to put together a cost and schedule takeoff and also arranged a meeting between the developer, Michael Gustafson, and himself to present the above information. At the meeting, they not only talked about the typical reduction in schedule with a steel frame, but also stated reduced operation and construction loan costs resulting from the shorter schedule and 25% savings in foundation cost due to the lighter steel structure and fewer columns.

The savings in both time and money caught John’s attention. The fabricator and Michael had met all of John’s reservations with using a steel structure and had reduced his schedule and related costs. John decided to proceed with steel and also agreed to look into steel with all his future endeavors. A success for not only the fabricator but the developer, too!
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There's always a solution in steel
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Trademarks licensed from AISC
The information contained in this document is not intended as a basis for structural design for this or any project. Rather, it is a conceptual approach to the project that demonstrates the viability of the steel system for project requirements, budget, and schedule.

1) The steel quantities and geometry based on this investigation are provided on floor layouts, a column and frame layout plan, a column schedule, and frame elevations on the following pages.

2) The design criteria per IBC 2000 is summarized and included in the following pages.

3) The residential floor system is presumed to be eight-inch thick, hollow-core plank spanning perpendicular to staggered trusses. The plank is not cambered and has no additional topping.

4) The parking levels use a 3-inch thick, metal deck with 3 ¼" of concrete for a total slab thickness of 6 ¼".
# STEEL QUANTITY TAKEOFF:
## Total Structure

**Milwaukee Mixed-Use Condominium**

### Suspended Steel Floor Areas:
- Total area: 172,287 ft²

### Estimated Steel Quantities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>psf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gravity Columns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W12s</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>8 tons</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beams (gravity)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide Flange</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>257 tons</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Frames and Staggered Truss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beams</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>223 tons</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columns</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>109 tons</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braces (HSS)</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>102 tons</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>699</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>699 tons</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The quantities are based on centerline dimensions
** Quantities do not include connection material, slab edge material or façade attachments.

### Material Specification
- Wide flange shapes are A992, Gr. 50
- Rectangular HSS sections are A500 Gr. B
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Type</th>
<th>Second Level</th>
<th>Third Level</th>
<th>Fourth Level</th>
<th>Typical Level</th>
<th>Roof Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Columns (gravity)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beams (gravity)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columns (lateral)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beams (lateral)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braces (lateral/truss)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Type</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Columns (gravity)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beams (gravity)</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>Ninth</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columns (lateral)</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>Eighth</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beams (lateral)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Seventh</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braces (lateral/truss)</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>Sixth</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>1400</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Even though columns may extend over two floors, column splices are not taken into account with the above piece count, meaning the column is counted per floor level of height.*
This investigation is based on the following criteria. The Steel Solutions Center does not assert that these are the criteria that apply to this project. The criteria are chosen based on the project location and the widely adopted model building code, [building code]. Requirements by local and state jurisdictions have not been considered. If actual project criteria differ significantly from those listed, the results presented may no longer be valid.

Gravity Loads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dead Loads</th>
<th>Superimposed Dead Loads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential (plank)</td>
<td>60 psf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof (plank)</td>
<td>60 psf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>10 psf (misc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>20 psf (roofing)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Live Loads

| Parking            | 50 psf                   |
| Residential        | 50 psf                   |
| Partitions         | 50 psf                   |
| Roof               | 30 psf                   |

Cladding Loads

Typical façade 150 plf

Wind Load Parameters

Basic Wind Speed = 90 mph

Basic Seismic-Force-Resisting System

Structural Steel System not Specifically Detailed for Seismic Resistance

Seismic Design Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seismic Use Group</th>
<th>X-axis</th>
<th>Y-axis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seismic Importance Factor, I_E</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seismic Design Category</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Class</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spectral Response Acceleration at

| Short Periods (0.2s), S_s | 0.100 g |
| One Second Period, S_1    | 0.050 g |

Building Period Coefficient, C_T = 0.002
Response Modification Coefficient, R = 3.0
System Overstrength Factor, \( \Omega_0 \) = 3.0
Deflection Amplification Factor, C_d = 3.0

Note: Seismic Provisions were not applied to this investigation.
1) When are sprinklers required in a structure? According to Sections 403.1 & 403.2 of the IBC 2000, buildings with an occupied level (i.e. residential or office level for example and not a roof or mechanical penthouse) above 75'-0" require sprinklers throughout the entire structure. For the Milwaukee Condo Project, the ninth level is the highest occupied floor at 76'-1", so the building would need to be sprinkled throughout.

2) Are there increases for separation between two different occupancies in the same structure? Separation requirements are covered in Section 302.3 – Mixed Occupancies, and the specific ratings are located in Table 302.3.3. For the Milwaukee Condo Project, the specific separation results to 2 hours.

3) How do you determine the fire rating for a building? Though this description will be somewhat simple in explanation, one begins by determining the building's Use Group according to Section 3; then select the Construction Type located in Table 503; and finally its fire rating found in Table 601, as follows:

Scheme #1 is for an ENCLOSED PARKING Garage with Residential above.

Residential Portion:
- Group R-2, Section 310.1 requires two or more units where the occupants are primarily permanent in nature
- Construction is Type IB, where Table 503 states that the tabulated allowable height and area is permitted to be 11 levels w/ Unlimited SF (for our project only 9 levels w/ 16,000 SF, therefore we comply)*

Garage Portion:
- Group S-2, Section 311.3 is classified as low-hazard storage for open or enclosed parking garages
- Section 406.4.1 states Enclosed Garages shall be limited to the allowable heights and areas specified in Table 503
- Construction is Type IB (to match the more stringent requirements of the residential portion above and in order to meet IBC requirements concerning single-type of construction classification), where Table 503 states that the tabulated allowable height and area is permitted to be 11 levels w/ 79,000 SF (for our project only 3 levels w/ 30,000 SF, therefore we comply)*

According to Table 601, Type IB Construction Fire Resistance Ratings for building elements are required to be:
- Structural Frames: 2 hours
- Floor: 2 hours
- Roof: 2 hours

*Note that the tabulated allowable height and areas shown do not include any allowable height and area modifications as may be permitted in Sections 504 (Height Modifications) and 506 (Area Modifications)
Scheme #2 is for an OPEN PARKING Garage with Residential above.

Residential Portion:
   Same as previous example.

Garage Portion:
   -Group S-2, Section 311.3 is classified as low-hazard storage for open or enclosed parking garages
   -Section 406.3 states *Open Parking Garages* states requirements for said spaces.
   -Construction is Type IIA where Table 406.3.5 states that the tabulated allowable height and area is permitted to be 8 tiers w/ 50,000 SF (for our project only 3 tiers w/ 30,000 SF, therefore we comply)

According to Table 601, Type IB Construction Fire Resistance Ratings for the residential building elements are required to be:
   Structural Frames: 2 hours
   Floor: 2 hours
   Roof: 1 hour

According to Table 601, Type IIA Construction Fire Resistance Ratings for the OPEN garage building elements are required to be:
   Structural Frames: 2 hours*
   Floor: 1 hour
   Roof: 1 hour

*According to Section 508.8.1, separation of occupancies will follow Table 302.3.3 as stated on the previous page and type of construction shall apply to each individual occupancy, except that all structural frame members within the open parking area shall be protected with the more restrictive fire-resistance rating between the associated construction types as shown in Table 601. Meaning that the rating for the Structural Frame was increased from 1 hour as stated in Table 601 for IIA Construction to 2 hour for IB Construction.
Below is a list of the most typical types of fire protection used throughout the construction industry, and they start with the most common & economical to the most specialized & expensive:

**Typical Types of Fireproofing**

**Gypsum Board Fireproofing** is one of the most commonly used types of fire protection in the industry. Typical construction assemblies with a combination of sheet thickness and layering have been previously tested and are specified for such standard areas as stairwells, partition, and demising walls throughout a building.

**Spray-On Fireproofing** is a combination of water, an adhesive, and most commonly cellulose material. This mixture is then sprayed onto the specific area, which can include structural members and metal decking, and is ideal for complicated configurations due to its ability to adhere and fill in voids.

**Cementitious Fireproofing** is typically made of either gypsum plaster or Portland cement and mixed with water, and the combination is then sprayed onto the specific member. Also, this fireproofing can be troweled to a smooth finish, which is comparable to concrete in smoothness and durability.

**Intumescent Fireproofing** is a paint-like coating, which is applied to structural members in a similar manner as primer or paint. When the coating is subjected to extreme temperatures, it will expand to a meringue-like consistency to insulate the steel and create a barrier of protection.
THIRD FLOOR LAYOUT PLAN
SECOND FLOOR FRAMING PLAN

NOTE: ALL ITEMS ARE WORK UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

1. THICK METAL DECK WITH 2 1/4" LITE WT CONCRETE FOR TOTAL THICKNESS OF 4 1/4"

2. INDICATES DRY-WRAP BDY. SEE FRAMING ELEVATIONS FOR MEMBER SIZE 
   DRY-WRAP CONFIGURATION.

3. (4) REPRESENTS EQUALLY SPACED NUMBER OF STUDS.