**Purpose**

These governing requirements are provided for identifying the activities required to demonstrate that the participating company’s management system fulfills the requirements for certification to the selected standard(s) and other normative documents. Governing requirements provide for the administration of the audit program from application to certification. They also contain clarifications, explanations, and additional requirements to those found in the certification standard(s) and are also used to provide modifications to the requirements in the standards until a formal revision to the standard(s) can be made.

The document pyramid shown below illustrates the hierarchy. The governing requirements sit at the top of the pyramid as the foremost document. They are supported by the applicable “certification standard”. Within the certification standard, “other referenced industry documents” are mentioned, which include the AISC Code of Standard Practice, ASTM Specifications, AWS Welding resources, etc. Finally, the “contract referenced specifications and codes” provide for job/project specific needs. These may require a specific version of an industry document or require the use of a specific manufacturer’s product. There may also be unique requirements of the job/project that are specific to the customer.
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Scope

This document (hereinafter referred to as the Requirements) governs the AISC certification programs. All Applicants and continuing Participants are required to have available and comply with these Requirements. An Applicant is a company that is requesting certification for a fabrication/manufacturing facility or erection company that does not hold a current AISC certification. A Participant is a company that holds a current AISC certification and is seeking to continue the certification for that fabrication/manufacturing facility or erection company. A Participant that is applying for additional certifications or endorsements, a Scope Change, is also considered an Applicant. The words shall, must or will denote a mandatory requirement. The words should or could denote a guideline or recommendation. The words may or can denote an opportunity to make a choice.

Intent of Use

Regardless of whether individual contracts specify AISC Certification, certified companies are required to apply their Quality Management Systems (QMS) and Safety Management Systems (SMS) to all work meeting the scope of their certification(s) and endorsement(s), as described in Section 1. Any contract can be used during the site audit to demonstrate the capability of the company to meet these Requirements.

Certification Programs

AISC offers and issues certifications for the steel industry. It also offers optional endorsements, which can be added to the certification scope. The certification programs are described in Section 1. For each optional endorsement, see the corresponding Supplemental Requirements (hereinafter referred to as the Supplements).

Section 1 General Requirements

1.1 Certification Program for Fabricators of Steel Buildings (BU) applies to Fabricators who fabricate and supply, via bolting and/or welding, structural steel frames for buildings and similar structures. See Section 2.1 of the AISC 303 Code of Standard Practice for Steel Buildings and Bridges for the definition of structural steel. Participants/Applicants of this program are required to adhere to these Requirements and to the Supplemental Requirements for Fabricators of Steel Buildings.

1.2 Certification Program for Manufacturers of Bridge and Highway Components (CPT) applies to Metal Component Manufacturers who manufacture and supply components that include bracing not designed for primary loads (diaphragms, cross frames, and lateral bracing); camera, light, sign and signal support structures; bridge rails; stairs; walkways; grid decks; drains; scuppers; expansion joints; bearings; ballast plates; and mechanical movable bridge equipment. Participants/Applicants of this program are required to adhere to these Requirements and to the Supplemental Requirements for Manufacturers of Bridge and Highway Components.
1.3 **Certification Program for Fabricators of Steel Bridges (SBR, IBR, ABR)** applies to Bridge Fabricators who fabricate and supply steel highway and railroad bridges. Participants/Applicants of this program are required to adhere to these *Requirements* and to the *Supplemental Requirements for Fabricators of Steel Bridges*. The categories for types of bridge fabrication all require the same level of QMS but differ in fabrication capability. Three categories are available:

- SBR: Certified Bridge Fabricator – Simple
- IBR: Certified Bridge Fabricator – Intermediate (Major)
- ABR: Certified Bridge Fabricator – Advanced (Major)

1.4 **Certification Program for Structural Steel Erectors (CSE)** applies to Erectors who erect, via bolting and/or welding, structural steel frames for buildings and similar structures, steel highway or railroad bridge construction. See Section 2.1 of the AISC 303 *Code of Standard Practice for Steel Buildings and Bridges* for the definition of structural steel. Participants/Applicants of this program are required to adhere to these *Requirements* and to the *Supplemental Requirements for Structural Steel Erectors*.

1.5 **Certification Program for Fabricators of Hydraulic Steel Structures (HYD)** applies to Fabricators who fabricate and supply hydraulic steel structures. Participants/Applicants of this program are required to adhere to these *Requirements* and to the *Supplemental Requirements for Fabricators of Hydraulic Steel Structures*.

1.6 The applicable AISC Certification Standard (hereinafter referred to as the *Standard*) is identified in the *Supplements*. Whenever there is a conflict between the *Requirements* or *Supplements* and the *Standard*, the *Requirements* and *Supplements* govern.

1.7 *Requirements* and *Supplements* are included in the site audit scope, and a nonconformance may result in a Corrective Action Request being issued by the auditor.

1.8 Supplemental Program Requirements include a Commentary section that provides clarification and further explanation of criteria found in the *Standard*.

1.9 Falsification of records or attempts to influence an auditor or the certification process in any manner by employees or other representatives of the Participant/Applicant, deemed to be a fraud or attempted fraud on the certification process, is not permitted. If this occurs at any time during the application or renewal process prior to a final determination by the AISC Certification Review Group (CRG), the certification process will be suspended and the case referred to the CRG for determination. Any existing certifications remain valid until the CRG has made its determination.

1.10 The Fee Schedules are provided at [www.aisc.org/certification](http://www.aisc.org/certification) and are subject to change.

1.11 Former PR1.11 moved to Section 1.1.

1.12 If a Participant opts out of receiving email communication from AISC or AISC Certification, important program information may be missed, such as bulletins, newsletters and other emailed mass communications. AISC Certification is not responsible for the consequences from missed emails.
Section 1.1 Communications

1.1.1 AISC relies on email to communicate with Participants/Applicants. Any changes to contact information MUST be provided to AISC. See requirement 1.1.2 concerning Company Profile. It is the Participant's/Applicant's responsibility to ensure communications are being received. Failure to update AISC Certification with current contact information may result in delayed or withdrawn certificates.

1.1.2 Company Profile includes company information and contact information. Refer to requirement 1.1.1. Changes in ownership, location, and company name may require additional audits. Therefore, the Participant/Applicant is required, within 30 days of the completed change, to submit the Company Profile form (aisc.org/certprofile) on the AISC website to inform AISC of changes to any of the following:

Facility: Company name, physical address of facility, mailing address, and ownership

Contacts: The names, telephone, and email of the following designated individuals:
- Principal Officer
- Marketing Representative
- Certification Contact
- Accounts Payable

While one employee may hold multiple positions, each Participant/Applicant must provide a minimum of TWO unique email addresses for two DIFFERENT employees to ensure proper communication. Continued certification is at risk and may be withdrawn if proper email addresses are not provided.

Section 2 Applying for Certification (For Applicants; Participants should refer to Section 8, Scope Changes)

2.1 Application begins by submitting the online application. Once the application has been submitted, an Applicant will have an additional 14 days to submit the completed Application Document Submittals along with full payment. The Applicant will be required to reapply if payment and documents are not received within the 14 day timeframe for the application submittal.

The Application Submittal Documents will be used for eligibility and document review. Full payment must be received before the application will be reviewed. More information on applying for certification, including the online application, can be found at www.aisc.org/certification/applicants.

2.2 An eligibility review is the first step in the application review process.
- This review will confirm that the Applicant meets the necessary prerequisites to be considered for certification.
- This step will confirm whether the Applicant will have work in the shop or active
jobsite (see Supplemental Requirements for more information) during a future
site audit to demonstrate capability to meet the program requirements.

- Following the first review of the application documents, additional documents
  may be requested. Requests for additional documents must be responded to
  within two weeks. If no response is received, the application process will be
  terminated, and the application fee shall be refunded.

2.3 Initial audits for Applicants are performed in two stages. Stage 1 is a Documentation
Audit of the Applicant’s Quality Management System (QMS). For erector Applicants,
their Safety Management System (SMS) is also included. All Stage 1 reviews follow the
process described in Section 3. After Stage 1 is satisfactorily completed, the Stage 2
(Site Audit) will be scheduled.

2.4 The Stage 2 site audit must be completed within one-year from the completion date of
the Stage 1 audit. If the Stage 2 site audit does not occur within one-year, the
application will be terminated, and the application fee will not be refunded.

2.5 If the Applicant cannot complete the Stage 1 document audit in the allotted time, the
Stage 1 process will be terminated, and the application fee refunded less the
Documentation Audit Fee. The Applicant must wait at least three months from the date
of Stage 1 termination before reapplying.

2.6 An Expedited Application Process (EAP) is available on the Fee Schedule for domestic
Applicants.
  - The EAP places a priority on the Stage 1 eligibility review, document audit, and
  - The Stage 2 Site Audit will be scheduled within the timing indicated on the Fee
    Schedule.
  - The success of this process relies on timely responses from the Applicant during
    the Stage 1 eligibility review, documentation audit, availability of work in the field
    or shop, and the scheduling of an auditor.

Section 3 Document Audit—Stage 1 (required for Applicants and may be required for
Scope Change Applications or as directed by Certification Review Group)

3.1 Following the first review of the Stage 1 or other document audit, a Participant/Applicant
will be issued a Document Deficiency Audit Request (DDAR). All deficiencies noted on
the DDAR must be responded to within 30 days of receipt, or the Stage 1 process will
be terminated and the application fee refunded less the Documentation Audit Fee.

3.2 If revised DDARs are issued, they must be responded to within 15 days of receipt, or
the Stage 1/Scope Change process will be terminated and the certification fee refunded
less the Application and Documentation Audit Fee.

3.3 The resolution process for the DDAR will continue for up to 90 days after the date of the
initial DDAR. If the Stage 1 audit is not completed within 90 days, then the process will
be terminated and the application fee refunded, less the Documentation Audit Fee. If
the Stage 1 audit is terminated, the Applicant must wait at least three months from the date of Stage 1 termination before reapplying.

3.4 All documents required for the Stage 1 document audit shall be submitted in English.

Section 4 Planning for the Site Audit

4.1 The current fee schedule is posted on the AISC website and is subject to change.
   - Applicants: Refer to PR2.1 concerning payment of fees.
   - Renewing Participants: Invoices will be delivered by email prior to the renewal audit.
   - If an audit is cancelled for failure to pay, the Participant must reapply for certification. The Participant may not pay for the audit at a later date and resume certification or reschedule the audit.
   - For audits canceled less than 30 days before the audit date, the Participant will be eligible for a 50% refund of their paid certification fees.

4.2 Participants/Applicants are eligible to apply for as many AISC certifications and endorsements as provided by these Requirements. Separate certificates are issued for fabricator/manufacturer and erector programs and require separate applications, documentation audits, and site audits. Refer to Section 8 to add programs to an existing certificate, or see Section 2 if not currently certified.

4.3 The Participant will be notified of the assigned audit date via email. Audits are scheduled and grouped together by geographical location. Based on this, each Participant is assigned an audit week. Audit weeks will typically remain the same for renewal audits year to year. Since scheduling is based on geographical location, sister companies may or may not be grouped together and audited within the same week. AISC reserves the right to change your audit week if necessary. Holidays, natural disasters, and conflicts with events like NASCC: The Steel Conference may necessitate schedule changes occasionally.

4.3.1 Requests to reschedule a site audit must be submitted by either the Certification Contact or Principal Officer through the AISC Audit Date Change Request form on the AISC website (www.aisc.org/reschedule). Requests must be approved by AISC before rescheduling can occur. Absent personnel, vacation conflicts, Busy production schedules, hunting season, or other similar circumstances are not considered acceptable reasons for rescheduling and will not be approved. Approved rescheduled requests will be subject to a rescheduling fee-based of the criteria shown below:
   - Approved request form received within two weeks from the date your renewal invoice was emailed to you, will not result in any fee being assessed.
   - Approved request form received 15 days after invoice issue date and 45 days before the scheduled site audit date, will result in a rescheduling fee being assessed in the amount of 25% of the total amount shown on the renewal invoice.
4.4 Former requirement PR4.4 deleted.

4.5 When a Participant’s rescheduling request is approved, the site audit must be completed a minimum of 45 days before the certificate expires, so that an extended certificate may be granted to ensure the audit review process is completed. If the site audit does not occur 45 days beforehand, the certificate will be suspended, and an extended certificate will not be granted until the audit review process is completed.

4.6 AISC reserves the right to reschedule a site audit due to circumstances beyond its control (i.e., weather, flight cancellations, political environment changes, unexpected auditor unavailability, etc.). If this occurs, no rescheduling fees will be assessed, and every attempt will be made to reschedule the audit as soon as possible.

4.7 AISC Certification conducts periodic auditing. A typical three-year Certification Cycle consists of the following, at a minimum:

- Initial Certification (RFN) or a Recertification (RF)
- First Renewal (R1)
- Second Renewal (R2)

The last characters of the audit number indicate the certification cycle, not the scope of the site audit. The scope of the site audit, which are the activities to be audited, is indicated in the audit plan.

4.7.1 Audit durations will be either one or two days, which will be based on the size of your company and the complexity of your certifications and endorsements. Audit durations will be confirmed on the audit invoice. Below are additional clarifications for audit durations, and AISC reserves the right to modify these durations based on a case-by-case basis.

- Fabricator Participants with 50 or fewer employees will have a one-day audit
- Participants who will have a two-day audit:
  - Fabricator Participants with 50 or fewer employees who hold the Sophisticated Paint Endorsement (SPE) and/or the Fracture Critical Endorsement (FCE)
  - Fabricator Participants with more than 50 employees
  - Erectors
  - Applicants within North America
- Applicants outside of North America will have a minimum two-day audit.

4.8 A quality manual, documented procedures, and records shall be available upon request by AISC and provided in English.
4.8.1 Former PR4.8.1 moved to Section 5.

4.9 Former PR4.9 moved to Section 1.1.

4.10 Applicants must submit the record of the internal audit and management review as required by the Application Document Submittal process. Records of the internal audit and management review must include evidence of the audit results, when these activities took place, the person(s) performing the audit/review, and evidence that all applicable certification programs and endorsements were audited.

4.11 For Participants seeking renewal of certification, the internal audit must include all required certification programs and any endorsements. The internal audit and management review must be completed annually. Records of the internal audit and management review must include evidence of the audit results, when these activities took place, the person(s) performing the audit/review, and evidence that all applicable certification programs and endorsements were audited. Participants may perform a single audit or perform several audits throughout the year, as long as all applicable certification programs and endorsements are audited.

4.12 The designated Management Representative may perform the entire internal audit, including endorsements. It is preferred to use other personnel to perform the internal audit so that independence from the function being audited can be maintained.

4.13 International Travel Advisory: If the Participant/Applicant company is located in a country for which the U.S. Department of State has issued a “Travel Alert” or “Travel Warning” advising U.S. citizens against travel, the AISC certification site audit may not be conducted. In that case, a current certificate may not be renewed before the expiration date. For Applicants, the application process cannot proceed.

Section 5 During the Site Audit

5.1 To ensure that the audit maintains impartiality and avoids any conflicts of interest, Participants/Applicants cannot have used the auditor assigned to them as a consultant in the two years prior to the audit date, nor can the auditor have been an employee of or contracted by the Participant/Applicant within the previous five years. If either conflict of interest exists with the assigned auditor, the Participant/Applicant is obligated to notify AISC Certification within five business days of receiving the auditor assignment, and the audit will be reassigned to a different auditor at no expense to the Participant/Applicant. If notification is not received within this time frame, the audit reassignment will be at the Participant’s/Applicant’s expense.

5.2 All correspondence, discussions and interviews will be conducted directly with or in the presence of the Participant's/Applicant's designated representative (normally the management representative or certification contact). Consultants may be present.
during the site audit as an observer, but they cannot participate in the audit nor can they be named as a designated representative. Additionally Consultants may be asked to leave the site audit if they are disruptive or try to influence the audit process or outcome. Noncompliance will result in the audit being suspended, and an Additional Site Audit Fee will be assessed to complete the audit at a later date.

Consultants include those who have participated in the establishment and implementation of the quality management system through activities such as preparing/producing manuals and procedures or who give specific advice, instruction or solutions toward development and implementation of the quality management system. Consultants who are under contract to provide ongoing, specific services such as safety management, NDE inspection, translators, etc. may participate during the site audit as determined by the auditor.

5.3 Observers may be present during the site audit but shall not participate in or influence the audit process or the outcome of the audit, as determined by the auditor. At the auditor’s discretion, observers may be asked to leave the audit. Noncompliance will result in the audit being suspended, and an Additional Site Audit Fee will be assessed to complete the audit at a later date.

5.4 AISC retains the right to send observers to any site audit for the purpose of monitoring program compliance, internal audits, or third-party audits. AISC will provide advance notification of the observer and the purpose of the observation to the Participant/Applicant. If an observer is denied access to the audit by the Participant/Applicant without demonstrating a conflict of interest, the audit will be cancelled and certification will be withdrawn or denied.

5.5 All references of Section 3 of the Standard and the quality manual procedures and forms required in Section 1.5 of the Standard, must be available in English.

5.5.1 Participants/Applicants are required to have the 2019 version of Selected ASTM Standards for Structural Steel Fabrication, as published for AISC, or equivalent content to satisfy Section 1.3.c of the Standard.

5.5.2 Quality goals, as referred to in Section 1.5.1 of the Standard, may be singular or plural. Having a single quality goal is acceptable.

5.6 Interpreters must be provided by the Participant/Applicants for the auditor’s communication during the site audit, as necessary. Interpreters must be knowledgeable of the appropriate industry terminology.

5.7 All personnel involved in the quality management system may be either employees of or contracted by the Participant/Applicant. In the case of the latter, contract status and qualifications must be demonstrable.
During the site audit, the auditor may identify and document in the Site Audit Findings report, five audit findings described below and summarized in Table 1. Audit findings can indicate conformity meeting or exceeding requirements, or an audit finding can indicate nonconformity where requirements are not met. These audit findings and the needed action for each are:

- **Identified Strengths.** Functions or processes that could represent process excellence, unique ability, or potential competitive advantage. These are to be reviewed during the management review meeting, and no further action is required.

- **Opportunities for Improvement.** Suggestions or opinions based on the auditor’s experience that could add value to the QMS or the erector’s safety management systems. These are to be reviewed during the management review meeting, and no action is required.

- **Areas of Concern (AOC).** Below are several examples of an AOC:
  - Used to inform Participants of recent changes to required references or the Standard.
  - Used as a participant alert that at next year’s audit, they may need to perform a mock-exercise or demonstration of capability, as stated in 5.11 of the Governing Requirements, as well as in the Supplemental Program Requirements for each certification or endorsement.

  The participant needs to engage their Quality/Safety Management Systems, to review, evaluate and implement corrections to an AOC. AOCs will be reviewed at the next site audit for effective implementation. Any not effectively implemented will be viewed as a breakdown of the Quality/Safety Management System, and a Corrective Action Request will be issued.

- **Audit Nonconformances.** Written to indicate that a nonconformity has been observed that is found to be a single lapse in the QMS or an omission of a requirement (note that ANCs cannot be written for nonconformities associated with sections 1.5 and 1.19 of the Standard as these require a CAR to be written) that does not indicate a failure of the QMS to control products and processes. Audit Nonconformance requires the Participant to use their corrective action system to document the nonconformance, identify the root cause and implement actions to prevent recurrence. The Nonconformance will be reviewed during the next site audit. If, during the next site audit, the actions taken are observed to be ineffective, a Corrective Action Request will be issued.

- **Corrective Action Requests (CAR).** When a nonconformity is observed that indicates a breakdown in the QMS that may result in the release of nonconforming product, result in the failure of the QMS to meet its objectives, or a nonconformance has been found to be repetitive indicating a systemic issue, then a CAR will be issued. See Section 6.
Table 1 - Site Audit Findings, Explained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Identified Strengths</th>
<th>Opportunities for Improvement (OFI)</th>
<th>Areas of Concern (AoC)</th>
<th>Audit Non-conformances</th>
<th>Corrective Action Requests (CAR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Include in Management Review Meeting?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engages the corrective action system?</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Maybe. The QMS shall define if so per Section 16 of the Standard</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When will QMC review the results?</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>At the following audit</td>
<td>At the following audit</td>
<td>Immediately per the CAR process of Section 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.8.1 Repetitive CAR. Failure to effectively implement corrective action for a previous CAR will result in a new CAR being marked “Repetitive”. Repetitive CAR(s) indicate a breakdown of the management system and will affect the decision made by the Certification Review Group and may put the certification at risk. See Section 7.

5.9 At the conclusion of the site audit, the Site Audit Scope sheet will be signed by the Management Team Representative and the auditor. Signing this form indicates that the audit has been completed as described on the form and that the Participant/Applicant understands the audit results and requirements for completing any Corrective Action Requests. It also indicates that there were no conflicts of interest between the auditor and the company and that any changes needed to the Company Profile have been discussed (see requirement 1.1.2).

5.10 During the Recertification audit (RF), the auditor will perform an Onsite Documentation Audit, in accordance with the audit plan, of the quality manual and procedures to assess their ongoing compliance. Additionally, the auditor may choose to conduct an Onsite Documentation Audit during a renewal audit if they deem it necessary to assess the Participant’s compliance with the certification requirements.

5.11 Demonstration of capability as noted in the Requirements and Supplements can be Representative Work or Mock Exercises. Representative work is work that is of equal or
greater complexity, follows the QMS, and can be used to demonstrate capability for a certification program or endorsement. Mock exercises are a demonstration of capability that use an approved exercise provided by AISC Certification, not actual work, to demonstrate capability for a certification program or endorsement.

Section 6 Corrective Action Request Process

6.1 An auditor may issue Corrective Action Requests (CARs) during the site audit. If so, the Participant/Applicant has 30 calendar days from the last day of the site audit to respond to each CAR. Evidence for ALL CARs must be submitted at the same time. Failure to submit evidence for all CARs by the due date indicated on the Site Audit Scope Sheet will result in the certification(s) being Withdrawn or Denied.

6.2 Each CAR response must include the completed CAR form which contains:
● Actions to immediately correct or contain the nonconformance
● Result of root cause analysis
● Actions taken to correct root cause and prevent recurrence
● Supporting evidence files that verify the planned actions have been implemented

6.2.1 The CAR form and evidence will be reviewed by QMC. If the reviewer makes a request for additional evidence, the Participant/Applicant has 14 days to respond. If the reviewer makes a second, which is the final request for additional evidence, the Participant/Applicant has 7 days to respond. Where a request for additional evidence has been made for more than one CAR, the evidence for ALL CARs must be submitted at the same time. Failure to respond to a request for additional evidence for any of the CARs within the stated timeframes will trigger an “unsatisfactory” result. Any CAR that does not receive satisfactory evidence after the three attempts will be marked “unsatisfactory”. All reviews of corrective action, whether satisfactory or unsatisfactory, are then forwarded to AISC. An unsatisfactory recommendation may put the certification at risk.

6.3 If the Participant/Applicant chooses to dispute or “challenge” the issuance of a CAR, a challenge may be submitted by emailing QMC at cars@qmcauditing.com with the reason(s) for the challenge within 30 days of the conclusion of the site audit. Challenging a CAR does not negate a Participant’s/Applicant’s obligation to resolve all other CARs within the required timeframe.

The Participant/Applicant will then be contacted by AISC concerning the challenge, and an investigation will follow. The investigation concludes with a decision by AISC on the challenge, and the Participant/Applicant will be advised of the results and any additional actions needed.
All documents required for the corrective action process, including evidence, shall be submitted in English.

Section 7 Certification Decisions

7.1 The AISC Certification Review Group (CRG) determines the certification status of all Participants/Applicants. It is the role and responsibility of the CRG to deny, grant, expand, renew, reduce, suspend, or withdraw certification to a Participant/Applicant based on objective evidence of an Participant's/Applicant's ability to satisfy these Requirements.

7.2 After each site audit is completed and any corrective action requests have been reviewed, the results will be forwarded to the CRG. The CRG will also consider the prior three-year certification history and audit findings, and any complaints or allegations that have been received by AISC, to review as part of the basis for determining certification.

Audit findings from an individual audit may not lead to a negative decision. However, if the audit findings reveal a pattern of repetitive non-conformances over the past three years, it may indicate a breakdown in the Participant's/Applicant's QMS. Repetitive non-conformances, especially non-conformances that reflect management disregard for their own quality management system are grounds for a withdrawal of certification.

7.3 Former requirement PR7.3 moved to requirement 7.1.

7.3.1 The following definitions apply to CRG decisions:

Deny - CRG has determined that the Participant's/Applicant's QMS or a portion of the QMS has failed to meet the Requirements and the requested certification(s) or endorsements will not be granted.

Grant - CRG has determined that the Participant/Applicant has successfully demonstrated the capability to meet the Requirements and certification will be approved.

Expand - Following an application to add another certification or endorsement to an existing Participant's/Applicant's certification scope, the CRG has determined that the additional requirements have been met and the certification scope includes new certification(s) and/or endorsement(s).

Renew or Renewal - CRG has reviewed the results of the renewal audit and has approved the continued certification as stated in the Site Audit Scope.

Reduce - Upon review of the audit results, the CRG has determined that one or more certifications or endorsements will not be approved and the scope of the certification will
reflect the removal of those certifications or endorsements.

Suspend - The current certification is still valid but will not be renewed until further actions are successfully completed. This may occur as a result of a CRG decision or as part of the Appeal process.

Withdraw - CRG has made the decision to no longer continue the certification process and any issued certificates will expire on the date indicated on the certificate.

7.4 CRG may choose to grant certification for less than one year if it is determined that an additional site audit is needed to provide evidence of an effectively functioning QMS. When this occurs, any associated costs will be assessed according to the current fee schedule for the Additional Site Audit. No additional scope(s) will be considered in this site audit. AISC also reserves the right to conduct short-notice or unannounced audits, if required.

7.5 Former requirement PR7.5 deleted.

7.6 AISC will make information concerning certification status publically available on its website. The information disclosed includes company name, location, contact name, email and phone number, certifications held, and status of certification.

7.7 Participants who hold a current valid certificate are eligible to use and display the Certified Fabricator logo or Certified Erector logo, as applicable.

7.8 Multiple fabricator/manufacturer certifications and endorsements are included on a single certificate. Erector certification and endorsements are included on a single certificate.

7.9 For Participants/Applicants of the erector certification program, the certificate will cover their regional office(s) or operation(s) only if all of the following conditions are met:
   A. The regional office(s) or operation(s) is doing business under the same company name.
   B. The Executive Management is the same as for the main office.
   C. The same Quality and Safety Management Systems were audited for the main office and project site.

7.10 The Certificate contains the following information:
   o Name of the company holding the certification
   o Address of the certified facility, which is the address where the site audit occurs (for Erectors, this is the address of the office)
   o Listing of the certification(s) and endorsement(s) held
   o Date certificate was issued
   o Date certificate expires
   o Signature of the AISC officer
   o Unique identification number

Certificates are non-transferable, and only one facility address will be listed on a certificate.
Section 8 Making changes to the Certification Scope (for Participants changing a current certificate)

8.1 A Participant may request changes to its certification. All forms are found at www.aisc.org/certification.

- **Expanding the scope of certification.** The Participant must complete the AISC Certification Scope Change Application when they wish to apply to add additional certification(s) or endorsements to a current certificate.

- **Reducing the scope of certification.** The Participant may reduce scope prior to or during the site audit. Reducing the scope of the certification is completed when a Participant no longer desires to be audited to a certification(s) or endorsement(s) that is on the current certificate.

- **Withdrawing certification.** The Participant may withdraw certification by completing a Certification Withdrawal Request found at www.aisc.org/certification. Upon the decision to withdraw from the certification process, the current certificate will expire on the date indicated. Participants that withdraw their certification will be required to follow the application process to regain certification.

8.2 Changes to the certification scope may require additional submittals, document audits, site audits, mock exercises, and fees. All scope change requests shall be submitted to AISC for review.

8.3 Scope change applications can be submitted by a Participant at any time during their certification cycle. For the additional scope to be considered at the Participant's next site audit, the Participant must complete the scope change documentation audit a minimum of 60 days prior to the scheduled audit date. Not all scope changes can be added to scheduled site audits due to site duration and auditor constraints. All scope changes that do not become part of a scheduled renewal audit will be scheduled as stand alone audits and charged an additional audit fee.

- The scope change requests must include a completed scope change application sent to application@aisc.org.

- Scope change application documents and payment must be sent within 14 days from the date of the receipt of the scope change application.

Section 9 Complaints, Allegations and Appeals

9.1 Participants/Applicants that have their certification withdrawn/denied/reduced may reapply six months after the date of notification by AISC. Alternatively, the decision may be appealed using the AISC Appeal Policy for Certification (Appeal Policy). Certification fees will not be refunded.
9.2 Participants will, at all times, abide by the AISC Progressive Action Policy for Certification Complaints and Allegations (Complaint Policy) and the AISC Appeal Policy. Both policies are available at www.aisc.org/certification.

9.3 The Complaint Policy establishes the process for resolving allegations and complaints involving Participants/Applicants that have been certified by AISC through any of the certification programs. Investigations and resulting actions will follow a prescribed series of progressive steps to evaluate the information provided and determine the appropriate course of action.

An “Allegation” is an informal request for action from any party with knowledge of activities by an AISC certification program Participant/Applicant that are alleged to be in violation of these Requirements. Submission of written substantiating information is not required.

A “Complaint” is a formal request for action from a party involved in the contract of a construction project for which an AISC certification program Participant/Applicant has provided materials or services. Submission of written substantiating information is required along with adequate supporting documentation.