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### NATIONAL ENGINEERING CONFERENCE IN PITTSBURGH, PENNA.

The 22nd annual AISC National Engineering Conference will be held on April 30 and May 1, 1970 at The Pittsburgh Hilton Hotel in Pittsburgh, Penna. Leading authorities in steel design, research, and construction will meet to exchange ideas and information about the latest developments in these fields. This conference is a "must" for anyone who designs structures.

Following are highlights of the National Engineering Conference program:

**Reducing the Cost of Building Construction**  
Harold J. Engstrom, Arkansas Foundry Company  
Stanley D. Lindsey, Consulting Engineer  
D. C. Shields, Pacific Car and Foundry Co.  
Lee M. Cohen, Chicago Heights Steel Div., Allied Products Company

**History of Bridges**  
Noncombustible School Buildings for Omaha  
William C. Almeyer, Leo A. Daly Co.

**Temperature Effects on High Rise Buildings**  
E. R. McLaughlin, Pennsylvania State University  
H. H. West, Pennsylvania State University

**New Systems for Steel Framed Buildings**  
Fazlur R. Khan, Skidmore, Owings and Merrill

**Orthotropic Design for Short Span Bridges**  
Nelson C. Jones, Engineer for Bridge and Road Design, State of Michigan

**A Bridge Designer's View of Structural Steel**  
P. L. Breen, Bridge Designer, Georgia State Highway Department

**Composite Design with Lightweight Concrete**  
John W. Fisher, Lehigh University  
James W. Baldwin, Jr., University of Missouri, Columbia

**The United States Steel Headquarters Building**  
J. H. Long, American Bridge Div., United States Steel  
C. S. LeCraw, Jr., United States Steel  
Skilling, Helle, Christiansen, Robertson, Consulting Engineers  
K. D. Cunningham, American Bridge Div., United States Steel
The Camera Captures

PATTERNS IN STEEL

AT THE DENVER CONVENTION CENTER
SCENE: HOSPITAL
TIME: FUTURE

ACTION BEGINS IN OPERATING ROOM WHERE SURGERY HAS JUST BEEN COMPLETED. WALLS OF ROOM PEEL OFF TO EXPOSE STERILE SURFACE BENEATH.

PAN TO MODULAR HOSPITAL UNIT BEING MOVED BY HELICOPTER TO NEW LOCATION.

DISSOLVE TO COMPUTERIZED CENTRAL BANK WHERE MEDICAL RECORDS ARE RECEIVED, STORED, AND DISPENSED.
HOSPITALS THAT NEVER GROW OLD

by James W. Marsh
AISC Regional Engineer
Los Angeles, California

The foregoing is not a scenario for a science-fiction epic, but an outline of what is on the drawing boards for future hospitals.

Hopefully, engineering time and money will be spent developing these ideas into reality in the not too distant future. Even today's technology has already produced some interesting departures from conventional hospital design.

Experimental Project — Michigan State University

Innovations may be found through an experimental construction project that is under way at Michigan State University, supported by a Public Health Service grant of approximately a half million dollars. A tower containing elevators, stairs, and utility lines will be erected. Rooms and hallways will be made in modular units, complete with finishes and mechanical services. They may be manufactured on the site or they could be assembly-line produced in a factory. The modules will be suspended from and plugged into the support tower, saving a great deal of construction time.

Once the structure is built, the hospital will test the feasibility of changing the use of some modules from time to time. It is anticipated that this may be done without demolition, major structural alterations, or suspension of activity in adjoining areas. Ultimately, construction based on this or other new design principles will make it possible simply to remove, for example, an old-fashioned operating suite from a hospital and slide a new one into its place.

VA Hospital — San Diego

Another project involving a structural approach being used for the first time in hospital design is the $34.5-million "totally flexible" Veterans Administration Hospital, located on 17 acres adjacent to the University of California's School of Medicine in San Diego. Construction started in May, 1969 with completion scheduled for late 1971.

The architectural firm of Charles Luckman Associates, Los Angeles, Calif., was asked to provide a plan that would allow the quick and economical flexibility needed to meet year-by-year advances in medical science. In analyzing the problem it was agreed that the traditional fixed-bay structural systems in existing hospitals impose severe limitations on expansion and remodeling to meet new trends in medical treatment.

The architectural philosophy and the natural conditions of the site evoked...
a cruciform configuration for the 6-story structure. Four 158-ft square wings will surround an 84-ft square elevator core. A 20-ft wide open courtyard will separate the wings from the core; and bridges spanning the court will permit access to the elevators at each floor above the first level.

To achieve the kind of flexibility that will permit relocation of both outside walls and interior partitions to satisfy functional changes, it was decided to create a large spatial platform, unobstructed by columns or shear walls. A steel truss system was selected as the logical solution to accomplish this flexibility. A framing system of deep trusses establishes an interstitial space that not only will house all electrical, mechanical, and support equipment, but will also provide clear spans uninterrupted by columns or supports on the working floor area.

This design innovation will permit both exterior and interior walls to be relocated in any direction. The hospital will be capable of expanding or contracting in nearly limitless combinations, to satisfy every changing functional requirement.

Trusses 158 ft long employing chords of A572 Steel, 6 ft-6 in. deep and 13 ft c. to c. will cantilever 27 ft beyond double jack trusses located 92 ft o.c. The 50-ft long double jack trusses are spaced 12 ft apart.

The depth of the trusses permits an intermediate story height of 7 ft-9 in. that will accommodate mechanical and electrical equipment to service the spatial platforms.

The spatial platforms will have a 5-in. poured reinforced concrete floor slab, so designed that any size opening can be cut out at any location to accommodate the installation of equipment required by future functional changes.

Intermediate 4-in. I-beams, framing between the lower chords of the trusses on 4-ft centers support the equipment and catwalks in the interstitial spaces, as well as the ceilings over the spatial platforms.

The important distinction of this design concept is that the spaces inside the building are dynamic. No matter what happens in the future to mechanical systems, the design changes required for continuous updating can occur within the scope of the interstitial design concept without penalty of structural changes.

The hospital's structural engineers were Erkel, Greenfield & Associates, Los Angeles, California. The general contractor was J. W. Bateson Co., Inc., Dallas, Texas. The steel fabrication was done by the American Bridge Division, U. S. Steel Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
1969 ARCHITECTURAL AWARDS OF EXCELLENCE

by John Dinkeloo, AIA and Walter F. Wagner, Jr., AIA

The winners in the 1969 AISC Architectural Awards of Excellence demonstrate well the great design flexibility possible with steel. Though the jury did not of course choose the winners on this basis, it is clear looking at them that each demonstrates different capabilities of steel — its strength, its simple functional beauty, its formability, and in sheet form its ability to clad great areas simply. For example:

- Both the Blossom Music Center and the Miller Outdoor Theater clearly reflect the sophisticated engineering that went into their unusual shapes and great spans. On the contrary...
  - The Richard Foster house, rotating on its pedestal, merely suggests the complex, light-but-strong structural system required to accomplish its innovative shape.
  - The Skil Corp. manufacturing building uses steel in the simplest and most classic way, but its design is set apart by the attention to detailing.
  - The Playhouse in the Park does not read as a steel building — but its use of bold steel trusses not just for function, but as a brightly painted feature of the interior, is both inventive and highly appropriate to the fantasy of the theater.
  - Both the Seattle-First National Bank Building and the Maples Athletic Pavilion express the use of steel in bold strong shapes, while...
  - The Ice Houses Concourse uses steel in the lightest (almost airy) way.
  - The Modular Apartment Prototype handsomely demonstrates the potential of steel in the nation's struggle to achieve factory-built, lower-cost housing.
  - Both the Power and Generating Facility and the Flight Hangar express clearly and simply the use of steel as the skin of a building — and both do it with a restraint and attention to detail rare in work-a-day buildings of this sort.
  - The Parking Deck — just for fun.

So this is, in the jury's view, a good group of buildings — fresh, restrained, making excellent use of materials; functional and, where appropriate, fun. And, as pointed out here, this should be from the steel industry's point of view, a good group of buildings — for they do demonstrate well the virtuosity of this material so basic to architecture.

John Dinkeloo is a principal in the firm of Kevin Roche John Dinkeloo & Associates, Hamden, Conn. and served as Chairman of the 1969 AAE Jury of Awards.

Walter F. Wagner, Jr. is Editor, Architectural Record, New York, N. Y. and was a juror of the competition.
1969
ARCHITECTURAL
AWARDS OF
EXCELLENCE

FOSTER RESIDENCE, Wilton, Connecticut
Architect: Richard Foster

FLIGHT LINE HANGAR #5, AMERICAN AIRLINES MAINTENANCE & ENGINEERING CENTER,
TULSA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, Tulsa, Oklahoma
Architect: Frankfurt-Short-Emery-McKinley,
Architects-Engineers-Planners

THE PLAYHOUSE IN THE PARK,
ROBERT S. MARX THEATER, Cincinnati, Ohio
Architect: Hardy Holzman Pfeiffer Associates

MANUFACTURING BUILDING, SKIL CORP., Wheeling, Illinois
Architect: C. F. Murphy Associates

POWER AND GENERATING FACILITIES,
Transverse City, Michigan
Architect: Albert Kahn Associates, Inc., Architects & Engineers
BLOSSOM MUSIC CENTER, Northampton Township, Ohio
Architect: Schafer, Flynn, van Dijk, and Dalton, Grimm, Johnson

FACTORY BUILT MODULAR APARTMENT PROTOTYPE
Westlake, Ohio
Architect: Donald E. Van Curler, AIA

AIR RIGHTS PARKING DECKS — ENTRANCE CANOPIES, Atlanta, Georgia
Architect: Toombs, Amisano and Wells, Architects

ROSCOE MAPLES PAVILION, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, Stanford, California
Architect: John Carl Warnecke and Associates

MILLER OUTDOOR THEATRE, Houston, Texas
Architect: Eugene Werlin & Associates

ICE HOUSES CONCOURSE, San Francisco, California
Architect: Wurster, Bernardi and Emmons, Inc.

SEATTLE-FIRST NATIONAL BANK, Seattle, Washington
Architect: Naramore, Bain, Brady & Johanson
The current trend toward multi-lane streets and roads presents a problem to pedestrians — especially school children — wishing to cross. Usually, a pedestrian bridge is not part of an original roadway development. The demand comes later, often when a hazardous condition becomes apparent.

When it was decided to build an overpass for busy Dodge Street in Omaha, Nebraska, the city planners employed W. H. Durand Associates, engineering consultants, to execute the design. The basic requirements were:

- Span a 5-lane major street.
- Complement a university and city park environment.
- Provide easy access via ramps instead of stairs.
- Keep the structure clean-lined and uncluttered.

After examining American and European examples of pedestrian bridges, Durand decided on a design that would incorporate the following:

- Frame with steel box girders and box columns.
- Proportion members on the basis of appearance as well as for structural need.
- Provide clean lines and surfaces, using hidden splices, connections, bolts, and other details.
- Use slight vertical curvature to avoid "tunnel" effect.
- Design for rapid construction and erection under traffic conditions. (Traffic was stopped for less than 1 hour.)
- Use closely spaced railing balusters, eliminating the need for a mesh screen.

Construction Details

The main span of the overpass is 70 ft flanked by identical spiral ramps. The ramps are each on a 44-ft radius, 120 ft long and at 12% grade. A single 24 x 30-in. rectangular box girder and 18 x 24-in. box columns, fabricated from 3/8-in. A36 steel plate, support a structural deck of white precast concrete transverse planks, each 22 x 96 x 3 1/2-in. The deck is topped with a lightweight concrete wearing surface.

Safety considerations and aesthetic appeal were combined in the 48-in. high steel railing, which repeats the continuity of line and rhythm of the curved girder. The top rail forms a strong unbroken line that is maintained by the uniform spacing of the 1/2 x 1 1/4-in. balusters, 5 in. o.c. throughout the length of the bridge.
The balusters are welded at their lower ends to the vertical leg of a 6 x 6 x ½-in. horizontal tee. The tee is field welded to weld plates embedded in the precast deck. The upper edge of the tee serves as a screed for the deck topping, which conceals not only the field welds, but also the studs by which the deck is attached to the box beam. One of the special features of the design is that no erection bolts or other field connections are visible.

Future Plans

The Dodge Street Overpass will not stand alone in reflecting imaginative bridge design in Omaha. Several other foot bridges — all on sites where aesthetics should be considered — are now under study. These may include other girder and column profiles, orthotropic deck and unpainted weathering steel.

Designer: William H. Durand, P.E.
Omaha, Nebraska

General Contractor: Foster-Smetana Company
Omaha, Nebraska
COMPOSITE DESIGN CUTS COSTS

by Jacob Grossman, P.E., Senior Associate
Robert Rosenwasser, Consulting Engineer
New York, N. Y.

Composite steel-concrete design is still a relative newcomer to building construction, although its advantages in bridge work have long been recognized. In designing the structural framing for a 45-story office building now under construction at 888 Seventh Avenue in New York City, the author found that a composite structural system resulted in overall cost savings, lower story height, and reduced construction time.

Maximum spans in the L-shaped building (dictated by an odd-size site) are 30 ft-4 in. between columns. The composite system utilizes corrugated steel "composite-form" deck with mechanical shear connectors welded to rigid steel framing. The slab is 4½-in. lightweight concrete (3,000 psi). All horizontal framing members and columns above the 36th floor are A36 steel. Lower columns are A441. Main framing connections are welded, but floor filler beams are machine bolted to the girders.

Advantages of System

Several unusual benefits were the direct result of the choice of framing system:

1. At mid-span, the depth of the girder could be reduced to the same depth as the filler beams, permitting horizontal transfer of ductwork without increasing story height. This saved approximately 30 ft. of building height. (Typical story height is 11'-6" as compared to 12'-2" with a non-composite system.) As a result there was a significant saving in cost for all vertical elements (exterior walls, partitions, risers, etc.). An extra bonus due to the lower building height was the reduction in wind load on the structure.

2. The higher moment of inertia of the composite girders reduced the drift of the building under combined vertical and horizontal (wind) loads. This led to additional savings in the cost of the structural steel, since framing member sizes are usually a function of drift rather than section modulus requirements in high-rise structures.

3. The composite system led to further savings in designing the columns for vertical loads, since the $K$-ratio (effective column length to actual unbraced length) was reduced.

4. Shallower and lighter filler beams were required than with non-composite construction.

Design Considerations

Figure 1 shows the elevation, moment diagram, and schematic moment of inertia diagram for a typical composite girder. The combined dead, live, and wind moments are shown superimposed.

The moment of inertia ($I$) of the girder varies as follows:

- $ab$: $I$ of non-composite 24WF section
- $bc$: $I$ of non-composite reduced steel section
- $cd$: $I$ of composite reduced steel section
- $de$: $I$ of composite 24WF section
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To alleviate the design complications involved in having a variable moment of inertia member, a computer was used, equating the drift to that of a constant moment of inertia beam. It was found that an average moment of inertia calculated as

\[ I_{\text{avg}} = I_{eb} \times I_{ab} + I_{bc} \times I_{bc} + \ldots \]

would give conservative results. (Actual drift is about 90% of the drift obtained by using an average \( I \) for the girder considered.) This is partially due to the redistribution of wind moments; a larger proportion acts on the composite section adjacent to the column (in the positive moment zone) as compared to the section in the negative moment zone, where the girder does not act compositely.

**Fabrication and Erection**

In his design the structural engineer provided for two alternate methods of fabrication and erection. The choice was left to the fabricator-erector. One method (which was not selected) calls for each girder to be fabricated as 3 separate units — two deep rolled sections and one shallow rolled section. The deep girder units were to be shop-welded to each side of the columns — two stories high. The shallow girder unit was to be dropped between the outriggers and field welded. Figure 2 illustrates the method. The moments and shears at the outrigger ends would be much smaller than at the columns, and would require smaller field connections.

Figure 3 illustrates the method chosen by the fabricator-erector. The girder was fabricated in the shop as a single unit. The bottom portion of the girder was notched at mid-span and a bottom flange was rewelded to the web (the cut-off portion was utilized elsewhere in the structure). The girder was shipped loose and welded to the column in the field. This method required additional steel, which increased the weight of the structural steel to 18 lbs./sq ft, as compared to about 16 lbs/sq ft, but in the judgment of the fabricator-erector, resulted in a lower total cost for the framing in place.

The building is scheduled for completion in late 1970.