New technology combines with old technique to yield piercing perfection.

THE ABILITY TO PIERCE METAL PLATE is a necessity for many fabricators and steel processing centers. Using plasma, rather than oxyfuel, is desirable as it means faster piercing times, faster cut speeds, and a cleaner finished product. And speed, as we all know, translates into higher productivity and profitability.

However, despite plasma’s many benefits, some companies find piercing thicker material—say anything over 1¼ in.—difficult with plasma. They aren’t alone. Several factors often leave operators with a torch filled with melted consumables, or consumables quickly covered in a layer of dross.

Today, thanks to recent improvements in plasma torch and consumable design, the piercing capabilities of plasma are significantly better. This article looks at the factors that traditionally have affected piercing as well as the technological advancements that are making plasma a worthy choice when it comes to piercing thicker material.

The Piercing Process
When piercing with a plasma torch, the plasma arc attaches to the top surface of the plate and transfers enough energy to melt the metal near the top. This molten material must then be removed, usually accomplished with the non-current carrying cold gas and the plasma shielding gas. As this molten material is removed, the arc transfers the energy to the bottom of the pierce hole and melts deeper into the plate. This process continues as the arc penetrates deeper into the plate until it breaks through.

Physics and Limitations
Sounds good in theory. However, as piercing takes place and the hole becomes deeper, three limiting factors begin to affect the process.

The first is associated with the energy transfer to the bottom of the hole. This transfer of energy is reduced as the hole becomes deeper and the arc transfers its energy not only to the bottom of
the hole but to the sides as well, enlarging the hole near the top of the plate and slowing the rate of piercing progression. As the hole becomes deeper and wider, the distance between the torch and the workpiece lengthens, increasing the arc voltage and the chances of the arc going out. Even if the power supply has enough voltage to maintain the arc, the longer pierce times mean the torch is kept over the hot molten steel for a longer period of time, which begins to melt the consumables, particularly the shield.

The second limiting factor is associated with the fluid dynamics of removing the molten material from the hole. Cold plasma gas and shield gas are supposed to blow the molten slag out of the hole and away from the pierce. However, as the hole becomes deeper, this becomes more difficult. As a result, gas flow tends to puddle at the bottom.

The third and most significant factor limiting piercing of thick metals is the effect of the molten material coming out of the hole. Much of it winds up on the end of the torch. As the torch sits directly over the metal being pierced, heat and molten metal travel back to the torch. As the temperature of the torch—particularly the shield—increases, molten material more readily adheres to it. This transfers even greater levels of heat into the shield, creating a continuously increasing condition of slag adhesion and heat buildup. This progressive buildup of
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Piercing Techniques
Operators of plasma systems employ various techniques, either alone or in combination with other methods, to address the limitations of piercing thick metals.

Stationary Pierce Method
In the stationary pierce process, the torch remains stationary during the entire piercing operation, generally at the manufacturers’ recommended pierce height. After the plasma arc pierces through the plate, the torch drops to the cut height and begins the cut. If there is a significant slag puddle on the surface of the plate, the torch can remain at an elevated height until the torch motion has moved beyond the pierce puddle. This is the simplest and most straightforward piercing technique currently used in the industry. Limitations of this technique include damage to torch and consumables as well as increased operating costs.

Low Transfer/Stretch Arc Method
In the low transfer/stretch arc method, the torch is raised once the plasma arc has transferred to the plate, stretching the arc. By increasing the distance between the torch and the plate, the torch and shield are somewhat removed from the path of the material being ejected. A major drawback of this method is that the elevated torch height, when combined with the depth of the hole, can drive voltages to a very high level, thereby increasing the probability that the arc will lose energy and even snap out. While the impact to the torch and consumables is reduced using higher pierce heights, the resulting pierce times are generally longer and not all lifters and controllers can perform this technique.

Moving Pierce Method
With the moving pierce technique, the torch is positioned over the plate some distance from the desired pierce point. Shortly after the plasma arc has transferred to the plate, the torch motion begins. As the piercing process takes place and the torch moves, the plasma arc penetration depth increases, creating a trough that directs the molten material and sparks away from the torch, in the opposite direction of motion, instead of directly back at the torch. Operators using this technique need to ensure their lead-in lengths are long enough to allow full penetration of the material. Operators also need to be extra cautious as a “rooster tail” of sparks can spray off the cutting table and potentially cause a fire.

Double Pierce Method
The double pierce process starts by positioning the torch over the plate at the maximum transfer height of the system. Continue the same process as with a stationary pierce, until molten material begins to spray back at the torch. This usually occurs when the pierce is about half way through the material. Shut off the plasma arc, clean the slag from the plate and reposition the torch to the side of the partially pierced hole as though for an edge start. Finish the process by piercing a second time through the plate. Because the torch is positioned at the edge of the partial hole, the molten material will spray away from the torch. Although this technique can greatly increase the piercing capability of a plasma system, drawbacks include significantly larger pierce holes, increased material waste and much longer piercing times.

Addressing Limitations of Plasma Piercing
The first limitation to piercing thick metal plate or the energy transfer to the bottom of the hole is addressed primarily through new technology from Hypertherm.

The second limitation to piercing thick metal plate, with regard to the removal of molten material from the hole, can be addressed through various piercing techniques. Stationary piercing, low transfer/stretch arc piercing, moving and double piercing techniques all can help the removal process (see sidebar).

The third and most impactful factor that limits the use of plasma to pierce thick metal is primarily associated with the molten metal that gets blown onto the torch and consumables, especially the shield. There really is no way to keep molten metal from hitting the torch, but engineers have found a way to keep the metal from staying there. Engineers at Hypertherm discovered they could reduce the metal’s tendency to stick to the shield by lowering the shield’s temperature. Experimenting with different cooling methods resulted in a way to aim coolant at the part of the shield exposed to the most molten metal. The engineers decided to employ a closed loop cooling system that directly contacts a flange on the back side of the shield.

During testing, the Hypertherm engineering team used water as a coolant, controlling its temperature with a chiller/heater. During laboratory testing, the engineers settled upon three different temperatures: 38 °F, 85 °F, and 135 °F. The testing involved piercing 1½-in.-thick mild steel plate with the company’s newest system, its HyPerformance HPR400XD. The plan was to use the 400 amp oxygen system to make 300 pieces. The shield and the shield cap were weighed prior to the start of the test and after every 25 pieces to monitor the accumulated slag on the shield. This was done until the 300 pieces had been completed.

The results of the test were dramatic. When the shield temperature was maintained (via the coolant) at 135 °F, the sum of the slag through the duration of the test was 198 grams. At 85 °F, the team saw a small but significant drop measuring 175 grams of slag after the 300 pieces. The big change came when the shield temperature was dropped to 38 °F. At that point only 31 grams of slag was measured.

By incorporating this technology into plasma torch and consumable design, the piercing capabilities of plasma are significantly extended. Hypertherm estimates it is now possible to production pierce mild steel up to 2 in. thick, opening the benefits of plasma to more and more companies. In addition, specialized techniques, can improve consumable life even further, since a cooler shield also prevents issues with melting.

Advances such as this one are benefiting companies who can now use plasma, instead of slower or more expensive methods, to expand their customer base and the work they are able to do.