Typical structural schemes and height requirements are overruled in San Diego’s new federal courthouse.

**All Rise**

**BY THOMAS A. SABOL, S.E., PH.D.**

**Justice has come** to San Diego.

Or at least it was expanded there in the form of a new U.S. Courthouse, which opened late last year. Located adjacent to the existing Schwartz United States Courthouse at the western edge of downtown San Diego, the new $300 million facility comprises a 16-story, 463,700-sq.-ft annex adding 14 courtrooms and support space.

The tower, whose ultrathin massing frees up space at ground level for a public plaza linking adjacent buildings, is clad in wafer-like layers of Terracotta and glass composed in response to the building program and orientation.

One of the building’s marquee visual and structural elements, the elliptical main lobby, expresses its San Diego roots by paying homage to the locally beloved 1915 Balboa Park Botanical Building; curved HSS and exposed steel framing mirror...
the lath house design employed in that structure.

In fact, architecturally exposed structural steel is expressed throughout the structure, including the lobby curtain wall and screen as well as site amenities such as the trellis along the main entrance ramp. Weathering steel plate retaining walls along the accessible path between the plaza and raised entrance lobby boldly complement the subdued colors of the building.

Concrete-filled metal deck supported by steel wide-flange beams and columns resists the gravity loads; the beams are 24 in. deep and the girders are approximately 27
The primary structural design challenges were posed by the high seismicity of the project site and architectural constraints of a narrow building plan. Tall floor-to-floor heights found on many floors and challenging drift limits made an all-moment frame structure relatively expensive. At 320 ft tall, the tower exceeded the building code-mandated 240-ft. maximum height for an all-shear wall and all-braced frame structure. Although many dual systems do not have height limitations, Hensel Phelps advised that mixing the major subcontracting trades required for dual systems (using concrete shear walls and steel moment frames) would not be cost-effective—plus the tall floor-to-floor height at the courtroom floors made erection of the plate sections problematic. In addition, trial designs of various steel dual systems involving both wide-flange and plate suggested that the required moment frames would not participate effectively in the overall lateral system due to their flexibility relative to the stiffer elements in such a dual system.

Faced with these constraints, we encouraged the owner, the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA), to use a performance-based design approach as we felt it could be used to demonstrate that an all-braced frame lateral system exceeding the prescriptive building code height limits would be an effective seismic system. One of the primary motivations behind the use of performance-based seismic design recognizes that typical building code requirements may not be well-suited for atypical structures. Properly applied, project-specific design criteria can result in a better seismic performance because member proportioning better matches anticipated demand. Plus, the capital invested in a building designed using performance-based criteria will be more effectively deployed than is likely to happen with a conventionally designed building. In the case of this project, the final design, which ended up being based on the performance-based design criteria, was several million dollars less expensive than the dual system alternatives mandated by the building code.

Security considerations required that no essential part of the building’s structural system resisting catastrophic blast loads could encroach beyond the blast setback zone, yet urban design goals pushed to bring the north and west building lines as close as possible to the property edge to maintain alignment with adjacent buildings. These conflicting goals were satisfied using double cantilevered girders at the northwest corner, allowing the building to project beyond the blast setback while protecting the lower portions of the building from blast effects. The north half of the floor plate above Level 4 cantilevers 9 ft, 3 in. to the west and a secondary cantilever of 23 ft extends to the north; a W36X282 and W30X108 are used to execute the double cantilever.

Early Adjournment

The project benefited from early retention of the construction manager, Hensel Phelps Construction Company, which is not always possible on publicly funded projects. The design and construction team took advantage of this opportunity to reduce overall construction time. The team also worked to carefully coordinate the building systems within the tight envelope demanded by the high-ceilinged spaces, and the structural steel fabricator, SME Steel Contractors, helped identify where penetrations in the structural steel framing would be required to accommodate late changes to the mechanical system. This up-front work allowed the project to be finished a month ahead of the original completion date.
No Objection to Project-Specific

Once permission was given to go the project-specific route, a number of structural steel schemes were evaluated. Those involving special concentrically braced frames were not selected because eccentrically braced frames (EBFs) were judged to possess better performance characteristics. Buckling-restrained braced frames (BRBFs), although anticipated to exhibit good seismic performance, were not pursued due to concerns about limited competition in what was, at that time, an overheated construction market.

Based on an evaluation of alternative link limit states, the EBF links were proportioned as shear links. As a result, link lengths were set between 4 ft and 6 ft depending on the frame span. EBF beams in the tower are typically W27x194 to W27x258 and the largest EBF column is a W14x730, with some of these columns plated to satisfy progressive collapse loading demands. The EBF braces range from W14x139 to W14x211.

The geotechnical engineer, taking into consideration site seismicity, recommended a suite of earthquake time history records to be used in the nonlinear response analysis. The original records were scaled for the site seismicity and the building fundamental period. Each earthquake time history was selected to reflect ground motion characteristics that might have a significant influence on the dynamic response of the building.

The records corresponded to an earthquake with an average return period of 2,475 years or 2% probability of exceedance in 50 years. This level of earthquake is identified as the maximum considered earthquake (MCE), which was used to evaluate the collapse potential of the building. The records were also scaled to simulate a design basis earthquake (DBE), which was approximately equal to an earthquake with an average return period of 475 years or 10% probability of exceedance in 50 years. Each record was divided into a pair of time histories representing the “fault normal” direction and the “fault parallel” components compared to the major axes of the building.

In addition to analytical modeling requirements, project-specific performance-based criteria specified limits on overall building response and EBF component demands. The criteria considered such things as maximum link beam rotation, maximum interstory drift, beam-to-column connection rotation, column and EBF brace performance, beam-outside-the-link performance and special shear link modeling parameters. The final structural design, which used approximately 8,000 tons of structural steel in all, was based on the results of the nonlinear response analyses.

The use of an EBF system and AESS satisfied the challenges of producing an inspiring architectural design, stringent user program requirements, a rapid construction schedule and a demanding budget. Performance-based seismic design requirements further advanced the project’s goals by demonstrating that an all-braced frame could provide excellent seismic performance despite the fact that the building was taller than normally permitted by the building code for this type of seismic force resisting system.
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